UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,280	12/21/2006	John C. Hutton	2848-56-PUS	4524
22442 SHERIDAN RO	7590 03/23/200 DSS PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADW		CARLSON, KAREN C		
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,280	HUTTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen Cochrane Carlson	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilted will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Fe 2a) This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7 and 11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6, 7, and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

This Office Action is in response to the paper filed February 11, 2009.

Claims 6, 7, and 11, drawn to a method of detecting diabetes via IGRP detection of autoantibodies, are currently pending and are under examination.

Page 2

Benefit of priority is to September 22, 2003.

Withdrawal of Rejections:

The rejection of Claims 6 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn due to the amendment to Claim 6 in which a detection step is added.

Maintenance of Rejections:

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6, 7, and 11 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.

At page 22, in Example 2, the specification states:

EXAMPLE 2

Test for antibodies to IGRP.

IGRP was investigated as a humoral autoantigen in diabetic human subjects and NOD mice using a series of assays based either upon immunoprecipitation of 35S-labelled in vitro translated protein generated from reticulocyte lysates, or ELISAs based on the binding of antibodies to recombinant protein immobilized on microtiter plates or PVDF membranes. The assays easily detected antibodies from rabbits immunized with an IGRP COOH-terminal peptide or recombinant antigen (antibody dilution I:50 to 1:8000) but failed to demonstrate the presence of autoantibodies in spontaneous diabetic or prediabetic samples, a high proportion of which were positive for one or more other

Application/Control Number: 10/573,280 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

<u>autoantigens (insulin, GAD65 and ICA512).</u> Other assays in which IGRP was translated *in vitro* with dog pancreatic microsomes to mimic its insertion into membranes and core glycosylation were similarly negative. Thus, any humoral autoimmune response remains to be characterized despite testing more than 100 diabetic and 50 control human subjects and 50 NOD mice at various stages of diabetes development.

At page 27, in Example 8, the specification confirms the observations of Example

2:

EXAMPLE 8

Studies with mice bearing human MHC diabetes susceptibility genes.

Autoantibody measurements have been uninformative both in the NOD mouse and new onset diabetic patients and it is conceivable that a dominant CD8 response occurs with little involvement of B-cells.

These examples show that contacting a biological sample from a mammal with an IGRP polypeptide does not detect circulating autoantibodies to IGRP in spontaneous diabetic or prediabetic samples taken from 150 diabetic mammals studied. Therefore, a method for detecting insulin dependent diabetes or susceptibility to developing insulin dependent (type I) diabetes by contacting a biological sample from a mammal and contacting the sample with IGRP and detecting autoantibodies to IGRP is inoperative.

Applicants urge that while not every diabetic sample will have autoantibodies to IGRP, the invention is operable when autoantibodies to IGRP are detected. In response, there is not one instance in which the specification demonstrates that autoantibodies against IGRP is found in diabetic or prediabetic mammals. Therefore, this rejection is maintained.

Art of Record, Re-iterated:

Lieberman et al. (July 8, 2003; Identification of the β cell antigen targeted by a prevalent population of pathogenic CD8+ T cells in autoimmuune diabetes. PNAS 100(14): 8384-8388) teach that IGRP has no known function (page 8387, right col., ~1/4 from the bottom) and that it is an autoantigen targeted by pathogenic CD8+ T cells.

Hutton et al. (July 22, 2003); A pancreatic β cell-specific homolog of glucose-6-phosphatase emerges as a major target of cell-mediated autoimmunity in diabetes.

PNAS 100(15): 8626-8628) cites Lieberman et al. and discusses IGRP as an autoantigen targeted cell-mediated autoimmunity in diabetes.

No Claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-0946. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656